

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,916	07/07/2003	Marco Vicio	05725.1222-00	6931
22852 7590 05/16/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			GEORGE, KONATA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY-MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/612,916	VICIC ET AL.				
Omoc Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication on	Konata M. George	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
	or					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	il Date al Patent Application					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and the second of the second o				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-47 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

- 1. The provisional rejection of claims 1-47 on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 and 26-53 of copending application 10/612,920 is hereby withdrawn as applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-47 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by de la Poterie is being maintained for the reasons stated in the office action dated August 25, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by de la Poterie (US 6,113,925).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,916

Art Unit: 1616

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another." or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

de la Poterie discloses a method of forming a film, which may be used as a nail varnish comprising a film former in an aqueous medium (abstract). Column 4, lines 25-40 disclose the film-forming materials such as polyurethane, acrylic, vinylic or styrene-acrylic polymers and that the film-formers can be present in an amount ranging from 2% to 60%. Additional additives, adjuvants, excipients, etc. can be added to the composition such as organic solvents i.e. ketenes (col. 3, lines 25-26), pigments (col. 4, lines 60-62) and UV screening agents, preservatives or wetting agents (col. 5, lines 6-16). The examples teach using SANCURE® as a film-former, since SANCURE® is a known polyurethane then it would have all the properties as claimed by applicant. The functional language as claimed by applicant would be inherent to the composition of de la Poterie as the prior art teaches the same composition.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 23, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1616

The applicant argues that de la Poterie does not teach the claimed invention. The examiner disagrees. The instant invention is directed towards a composition comprising a cosmetically acceptable medium and at least one film-forming polymer. The amendment to claim 1, requiring that the polymer "is soluble in an organic solvent..." merely further describes characteristics of the polymer. Paragraphs [0036-0040] and the exemplified example of the applicant's specification describes examples of the claimed film-forming polymer i.e. polyurethanes, polyureas, etc. Column 4, lines 25-40 of de la Poterie teaches examples of film-forming materials that can be employed in the composition of which polyurethanes are mentioned. Since polyurethane is mentioned in the prior art as the film-forming polymer and is taught by applicant as an example in the instant invention, it is anticipated that it would have the same characteristics as claimed. The applicant does not teach in the specification examples of a cosmetically acceptable medium, therefore, any of the cosmetically acceptable mediums known in the cosmetic art can be employed with a reasonable expectation of success. Since the composition of the instant invention and of de la Poterie are the same, all of the limitations would be inherent.

Art Unit: 1616

Conclusion

5. Claims 1-47 remain rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is 571-

272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 6:30PM Monday to

Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at 571-272-0646. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have question on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Konata M. George Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Johann Richter, Ph.D., Esq.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600